

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Richard HATCH et al.
Application No.: 10/023,700
Filing Date: December 21, 2001
Title: ELECTRONIC DEVICE
Examiner: Raymond S. Dean
Art Unit: 2618
Docket No.: NOKIA.41US
Customer No.: 43829

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the Office Action dated June 28, 2006. The Office Action rejects all of the pending claims (claims 3, 11, and 13-30) as being rendered obvious by U.S. Patent No. 5,797,098 to Schroeder et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,801,659 to O'Dell. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection at least because it fails to establish a prima facie case that the prior art references would be combined so as to include each and every one of the features recited in the claims.

Words are displayed based on their frequency of use "by the user"

For example, each of the independent claims (claim 3, 11 and 13) recite the feature that words are displayed in an order based on the frequency of use of the plurality of the selected